

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE |      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/672,293   | 09/27/2000  |      | Bernd Sostawa        | Westphal.5761           | 6844             |  |
| 7590 10/28/2003  |             |      |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Patrick J O'Sh   |             | cIID | PHILIPPE, GIMS S     |                         |                  |  |
| Samuel Gauthier & Stevens LLP 225 Franklin Street Suite 3300 |             |      |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| Boston, MA   | 02110       |      |                      | 2613                    |                  |  |
|  |             |      |                      | DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u>  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| •   | Application No.        |                                      | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Comments  | 09/672,293             |                                      | SOSTAWA ET AL.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner               |                                      | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Gims S Philippe        |                                      | 2613   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S   | <u>eptember 2000</u> . |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  | s action is non-fin    | al.                                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are allowed.  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                        | ř                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requiren      | nent.                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner   |                        | b\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\ | s butho Eveniner                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2000</u> is/a   |                        | -                                    | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep   |                        |                                      | vod by the Examiner.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:   |                        | •                                    | · / · //   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents  | have been recei        | ved.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _   |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.   |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                        |                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5.</li> </ol>   | 5) 🔲 🗆                 |                                      | (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>atent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/672,293

Art Unit: 2613

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is a first action in response to application no. 09/672,293 filed on September 27, 2000 in which claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

### Specification

1. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## **Arrangement of the Specification**

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.©Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
  - 1. Field of the Invention.
  - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (I) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

Application/Control Number: 09/672,293

Art Unit: 2613

## **Drawings**

The drawings are not labeled in the English alphabet. The Examiner must be able to confirm that all the elements described in the detailed Specification are included in the drawings. In addition, some of the drawings are not functionally labeled. For example, box 52 of fig. 4 should include the function of such item just like item 13 of the same figure (fig. 4). The applicant should also review all the figures of the drawings for the same type of correction.

#### Claims

While it appears that the claims are properly written, the applicant is urged to review the claims in order to expedite the prosecution. Note that the numeral reference made to the drawings in the claims is not necessary.

- 2. Claims 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections raised in the examination of the present application.
- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chen et al. (US Patent no. 6,259,741) teaches method of architecture for converting MPEG-2 4:2:2 profile bitstreams into main-profile bitstreams.

Wang et al. (US Patent no. 6,441,754) teaches apparatus and method for transcoder-based adaptive quantization.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,293

Art Unit: 2613

ي. . مالزن

Youn et al. (US Patent no. 6,466,623) teaches method and apparatus for motion estimation for high performance transcoding.

Assuncao (US Patent no. 6,226,328) teaches transcoding apparatus for digital video networking.

Eyuboglu et al. (US Patent no. 5,541,852) teaches device, method and system for variable bit-rate packet video communication.

Eyuboglu et al. (US Patent no. 5,537,440) teaches efficient transcoding device and method.

#### Conclusion

4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

The drawings must be corrected as noted above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S Philippe whose telephone number is (703) 305-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30-7:00) Second Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris S Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

**GSP** 

October 23, 2003